



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PORT OF PATENTS
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
WWW.uspto.gov

	THE DIC DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Matthew Morell	127-01	1169
10/018,418	05/09/2002		EXAMINER MEHTA, ASHWIN D	
	7590 01/31/2005 WINNER AND SULL!			
4875 PEARL EAST CIRCLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200	CO 90301		1638	
BOULDER, C	(0 00301		DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/018,418	MORELL ET AL.	MORELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ashwin Mahta	1638		
The MAN INC DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replant of the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period if NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the provision of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 67-75,80,82 and 85-91 is/are pending and of the above claim(s) is/are withded bis/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 67-70,72,74,75,80,82 and 85-91 is/are claim(s) 71 and 73 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 71 and 73 is/are objected to restriction and content of the provision and subject to restriction and content of the provision and subject to restriction and content of the provision and subject to restriction and content of the provision and subject to restriction and content of the provision of the subject to restriction and content of the provision of the	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC 136(a). In no event, however, may a report within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT let, cause the application to become ABA and date of this communication, even if the communication is action is non-final. It is action is non-final. It is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. Ing in the application. It is application. It is application. It is application. It is application.	onth(s) FROM oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered tin HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mely filed, may reduce any nber 2004. ers, prosecution as to	nely. communication.	
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a serior o	the drawing(s) be held in abeyate rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached eign priority under 35 U.S.C. ments have been received. The priority documents have been received in priority documents have been received.	g(s) is objected to. See 3 ed Office Action or form § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Application No en received in this Nat	37 CFR 1.121(d). n PTO-152.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10062004.	8) Paper I		on (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/018,418 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. The objections to the specification are withdrawn in light of the amendments.
- 3. The objections to claims 68, 72, 75, 82, 87, and 88 are withdrawn in light of the claim amendments.
- 4. The rejection of claims 68-70, 72, and 80-85 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph is withdrawn in light of the claim amendments or cancellations.
- 5. The rejections of claims 67, 68, 70, 72, 74, 75, 80-83, and 85-91 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 102(e) are withdrawn, in light of the claim amendments.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 73 remains and claim 71 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 74 remains objected to for reciting, "isolate" instead of --isolated-- in line 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,418 Page 3

Art Unit: 1638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 68, 69, 72, 75, 80, 82, and 85 remain and claims 67, 70 74, and 86-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for the reasons of record stated in the Office action mailed April 2, 2004. Applicants traverse the rejection in the paper filed October 4, 2004. Applicants' arguments were fully considered but were not found fully persuasive.

Applicants argue that the claim amendments render the rejection moot (response, page 23, 4th full paragraph). However, the claims still encompass nucleic acid molecules that can encode any starch synthase activity. The specification indicates that four classes of starch synthases have been identified in plants: GBSS, SSI, SSII, and SSIII (page 8, lines 8-15). SEQ ID NO: 4 is an SSII enzyme. The nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3 and the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4 are not correlated with any other type of activity. It is suggested that the claims be amended to indicate that the starch synthase is starch synthase --II--. It is also noted that claim 75 encompasses SEQ ID NOs: 26 and 27. It is suggested that parts (i) and (ii) of claim 75 be removed. As discussed in the last Office action, those sequences are found within non-elected SEQ ID NO: 1.

8. Claims 68, 69, 72, 75, 80, 82, and 85 remain and claims 67, 70 74, and 86-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lacking enablement for the full scope of the claims, for

Art Unit: 1638

the reasons of record stated in the Office action mailed April 2, 2004. Applicants traverse the rejection in the paper filed October 4, 2004. Applicants' arguments were fully considered but were not found fully persuasive.

Applicants argue that the claim amendments render the rejection moot (response, page 26, 5th full paragraph). However, the claims still encompass isolated nucleic acid molecules that can encode any starch synthase enzyme, whereas SEQ ID NO: 4 is a starch synthase II. It is also noted that claim 75 still encompasses probes and primers set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 26 and 27. However, as noted in the last Office action, SEQ ID NOs: 25-28 are in non-elected SEQ ID NO: 1. It is again suggested that the claims be amended as discussed above.

Further, claim 80 still indicates that the enzyme activity of one or more starch synthase isoenzymes is modified. As discussed previously, the specification does not indicate how enzyme activity, as opposed to nucleic acid expression, is affected by the claimed method. It is suggested that lines 5-6 of the claim be amended to indicate that the expression level of starch synthase II is decreased.

Further, regarding claim 91, it is unclear how one skilled in the art is to use a gene construct or vector comprising the probe or primer of claim 74. Probes and primers are used in hybridization or PCR methods. It is unclear, and not explained in the specification, how one skilled in the art would use a gene construct or vector comprising the probe or primer. The probe or primer could be considered a molecule of, for example, part ii) of claim 80 or part (iv) of claim 86. However, sequences smaller than 23 nucleotides are known to be insufficient to down-regulate expression of a coding sequence. For example, Thomas et al. (Plant J., 2001, Vol. 25, pages 417-425) teaches that the lower size limit for silencing a GFP transgene is 23 nucleotides

Art Unit: 1638

(pages 418-419). The claimed gene construct and vectors comprising probes and primer sequences as small as 15 nucleotides could not be used to down regulate any endogenous starch synthase II genes. It is unclear how else one skilled in the art is to use the claimed gene constructs and vectors. See Genentech, Inc. v. Novo Nordisk, A/S, 42 USPQ2d 1001, 1005 (Fed. Cir. 1997), which teaches that "the specification, not the knowledge of one skilled in the art" must supply the enabling aspects of the invention.

Claims 71 and 73 are objected and claims 67-70, 72, 74, 75, 80, 82, 85-91 are rejected. 9.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ashwin Mehta, whose telephone number is 571-272-0803. The Examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 A.M to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached at 571-272-0804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,418

Art Unit: 1638

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

January 26, 2005

Ashwin D. Mehta, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1638